

Proposed Whole Life Custody (Scotland) Bill

Introduction

A proposal for a Bill to give Scottish courts the power to sentence the worst criminals to custody for the rest of their lives. The consultation runs from 29 May 2019 to 30 August 2019. All those wishing to respond to the consultation are strongly encouraged to enter their responses electronically through this survey. This makes collation of responses much simpler and quicker. However, the option also exists of sending in a separate response (in hard copy or by other electronic means such as e-mail), and details of how to do so are included in the member's consultation document. Questions marked with an asterisk (*) require an answer. All responses must include a name and contact details. Names will only be published if you give us permission, and contact details are never published – but we may use them to contact you if there is a query about your response. If you do not include a name and/or contact details, we may have to disregard your response. Please note that you must complete the survey in order for your response to be accepted. If you don't wish to complete the survey in a single session, you can choose "Save and Continue later" at any point. Whilst you have the option to skip particular questions, you must continue to the end of the survey and press "Submit" to have your response fully recorded. Please ensure you have read the consultation document before responding to any of the questions that follow. In particular, you should read the information contained in the document about how your response will be handled. The consultation document is available here: [Consultation document](#) [Privacy Notice](#)

I confirm that I have read and understood the Privacy Notice attached to this consultation which explains how my personal data will be used

About you

Please choose whether you are responding as an individual or on behalf of an organisation. Note: If you choose "individual" and consent to have the response published, it will appear under your own name. If you choose "on behalf of an organisation" and consent to have the response published, it will be published under the organisation's name.

an individual

Which of the following best describes you? (If you are a professional or academic, but not in a subject relevant to the consultation, please choose "Member of the public".)

Member of the public

Please select the category which best describes your organisation

No Response

Please choose one of the following:

I am content for this response to be published and attributed to me or my organisation

Please provide your name or the name of your organisation. (Note: the name will not be published if you have asked for the response to be anonymous or "not for publication". Otherwise this is the name that will be published with your response).

Gillian Jack

Please provide details of a way in which we can contact you if there are queries regarding your response. Email is preferred but you can also provide a postal address or phone number. We will not publish these details.

Aim and approach

Q1. Which of the following best expresses your view of giving Scottish courts the power to sentence the worst criminals to custody for the rest of their lives?

Fully opposed

Please explain the reasons for your response.

Whole life orders are violations of the European Convention on Human Rights. Scotland should be leading the way on criminal justice, not instituting these illegal sentences.

Q2. How would introducing Whole Life Custody Sentences affect your level of confidence in the Scottish justice system?

Significantly less confident in the justice system

Please explain the reasons for your response

The Scottish justice system has its flaws and we should be continually looking to improve it. This bill would set it back decades into a petty, punitive embarrassment to the nation.

Q3. Which types of murder should have a Whole Life Custody Sentence as the starting point for sentencing? (Choose all that apply)

None of the above

Please explain the reasons for your response

No one should be subject to a sentence which breaches their human rights. It is clear from these suggested convictions that the bill is designed to pander to members of the public outraged by tabloid headlines.

Q4. Which sexual offences should have a Whole Life Custody Sentence as the starting point for sentencing? (Choose all that apply)

None of the above

Q4. Which sexual offences should have a Whole Life Custody Sentence as the starting point for sentencing? (Choose all that apply)

Please explain the reasons for this response.

No one should be subject to a sentence which breaches their human rights. It is clear from these suggested convictions that the bill is designed to pander to members of the public outraged by tabloid headlines.

Q5. Are there any other types of offence (other than murder or certain sexual offences) which should attract a Whole Life Custody Sentence as a 'starting point' for sentencing?

No- no offences (including murder or sexual offences) should attract a Whole Life Custody Sentence

Please explain the reason for your response.

No one should be subject to a sentence which breaches their human rights.

Q6. Which of the following best expresses your view on whether whole life custody should be a sentencing option for younger offenders?

Whole life custody should not be an option for any offender, regardless of age

Please explain the reasons for your response.

No one, regardless of age, should be subject to a sentence which breaches their human rights.

Financial Implications

Q7. Taking account of both costs and potential savings, what financial impact would you expect the proposed Bill to have? Please explain the reasons for your response.

This bill will be costly in terms of both longer prison terms and in defending these sentences at the European Court of Human Rights.

Equalities

Q8. What overall impact is the proposed Bill likely to have on equality, taking account of the following protected characteristics (under the Equality Act 2010): age, disability, gender re-assignment, maternity and pregnancy, marriage and civil partnership, race, religion or belief, sex, sexual orientation?

Negative

Please explain the reasons for your response.

This nonsense bill can have no positive effects on equality.

Sustainability

Q9. Do you consider that the proposed Bill can be delivered sustainably, i.e. without having likely future disproportionate economic, social and/or environmental impacts?

No

Please explain the reasons for your response.

This bill will be extremely expensive, diverting funds from where they are needed in a pointless quest to appear "tough on crime" without the slightest insight into criminal rehabilitation.

General

Q10. Do you have any other comments or suggestions on the proposal?

This is a cynical bill which politicises sentencing in order for the proposer's party to pander to the right and far-right. It is illegal and would be extremely expensive to implement and then defend in international courts. It is an embarrassment that it has come this far.